One of the latest offerings from Dr. Mary Lindahl, unfortunately is not surprising. I am referring to the article she co-authored with Lisa Hunt titled “Reunification in Intrafamilial Child Abuse Cases: A Model for Intervention: REUNIFICATION IN INTRAFAMILIAL CHILD ABUSE CASES” which can be found in the Journal, Family Court Review (April 2016).

It is curious that she mentions that she and Lisa Hunt has a reunification model, yet she never mentions what that model is. Instead she blames the parents for the failure to reunify. In one particular case, which involved Monica Zveare, Lindahl’s behavior was unethical and unprofessional. She is not qualified to be an author of a paper of this sort.

For starters she kept the factual statements of the child from Court and the accused for nearly two years. Only under months of Dr. Lindahl’s guidance did the child disclose abuse; that were inconsistent. The child then retracted the claims immediately, Dr. Lindahl never, even while under oath never reported this to the Court; not very professional behavior.

The article written by Lindahl, is not worth the paper it is written or the HTML pages. In one section, in which she complains about the accused parent filing Board Complaints against professionals in the case. She is referring to herself, she was the subject of two Board complaints because she was not forthcoming about the retractions of a child She stated under oath that there were no inconsistencies, which was a lie. She neglects to document why she was the subject of the complaints.

Dr. Lindahl was the primary engineer of at least one re-unification attempt. When and if you read this article, do not take it as the truth and you must consider the source.