

## Lorrie Sinclair - Where is the outrage?



There is substantial and justified outrage over Alexander Acosta's handling of the Jeffrey Epstein case. I agree with the outrage, there was substantial evidence for prosecuting Epstein. Instead Acosta agreed to a plea deal.

Sinclair is opposite to Acosta. Acosta let a guilty man go, Sinclair tried to send an innocent man back to prison, of course I am referring to Bruce McLaughlin. Incredibly, she has garnered very little criticism for this; at least publicly. The State Senators and other public officials are aware of this. Somehow locally, she has faced no backlash for this.

I will say it and keep saying it, the evidence was so overwhelming that McLaughlin was falsely convicted. He should have simply been let go without a retrial. Sinclair wasn't the Commonwealth Attorney but she did work in the office and on the McLaughlin case. Just like Acosta, her hands are not clean.

Inexplicably, on several occasions she has attempted to become a judge. You did not get promoted for trying to send an innocent man back to prison.

Again , as far as Sinclair is concerned, where is the outrage?