

Monica Zveare



The similarities between Monica Zveare and Judy Johnson (initial accuser in the McMartin case) are striking. Both made fantastical and bizarre claims of abuse , both strain credulity. Judy Johnson claimed that her child was abused by the member of the Los Angeles school board, Zveare made many claims that a child was abused including drugging with mushrooms. Both were believed those in power, Johnson by the prosecution in LA , Zveare by Sandra Glenney.

The major difference with Judy Johnson and Monica Zveare is that a few of those in power that interviewed Judy Johnson did express [doubts](#). Not so in Loudoun County, despite Zveare's claims of invisible men and the sandman, Zveare was continued to be believed. In Zveare's case Glenney tried at all costs to keep Zveare's mental health hidden.

Sandra Glenney is an integral part of the Zveare story, Glenney was Zveare's champion. Glenney continually ignored Zveare's bizarre and false statements. Zveare was recommended to receive assistance from Loudoun County mental health, this did not give Glenney pause. A statement could be made that Glenney has a very distorted thought process.

The defense attorney's in the McMartin trial did an incredible job or exposing Judy Johnson's mental health issues. In your specific case, you and your attorney need to investigate the mental health of the accusing parent. This needs to be presented to the Court in order to obtain a psychological evaluation for the accuser.

Loudoun CPS - Monica Zveare



Monica Zveare is a prime example of why it is imperative to obtain all of the notes that contains the statements made by the accuser. This will entail your attorney aggressively issuing subpoena's for all CPS records. In the case of Monica Zveare, a very simple review of her statements made to CPS would reveal a troubled state of mind. Keep in mind, Sandra Glenney and CPS will have a goal of preserving the character of the accusing parent. This may take the form of Glenney attempting to quash the subpoena. Your attorney needs to be vigorous in their attempt to obtain all of the statements made by the accusers. This includes emails, handwritten notes by CPS and official notes in the OASIS system. In the case of Monica Zveare , her statements were bizarre and untruthful.

Zveare made [statements](#) to a CPS Social Worker that a child was talking to the sandman and the invisible man and that they were telling the child to do bad things. These statements should have given pause to the social worker, should have but didn't. Social worker Rina Arita believed the statements without any scrutiny, This is typical of Loudoun County social workers , they will simply believe the accusers, they will always believe the accuser. You have to question the critical thinking skills of Rina Arita. This applies to all social workers employed within Loudoun County Child Protective Services.

Per Zveare, the child said "**God is dead**". The child also said "**I hate God and I love the devil.**" It is pretty obvious that all the statements were coming from Monica Zveare and not the child. CPS workers , such as Rina Arita will consistently believe the false accuser.notice how Monica Zveare mentioned the devil , the sandman and the invisible man. This is incredibly similar to statements made during the Salem Witch trials. This language did not even give Rina Arita or Sandra Glenney pause , that Ms. Zveare might be lying or suffering from mental instability.

In most Courtrooms, talk about the devil, sandman or the invisible man would impeach the credibility of the accuser. This is not the case when Sandra Glenney is involved. It is a complete kangaroo court.

Loudoun County - Alex Levay the judicial choice



The following [article](#) is an interview with Mr. Alexander Levay concerning the Bruce McLaughlin case. It does a good job of listing the details of the case, such as child suggestibility and manipulation by the other parent, It would have been useful if the article mentioned that Lorrie Sinclair was involved as the opposing counsel. In any possible universe it is impossible to imagine that Lorrie Sinclair would ever become a judge in Loudoun County. She was involved in an attempt to incarcerate an innocent man,

Mr. Levay's appointment brings a new dynamic to the bench, he is familiar with the perils of psychological testimony and to be blunt , he is aware of the incompetence of Loudoun Child Protective Services, especially their interview techniques. I am sure that the CPS management will be somewhat timid when Judge Levay presides over a case. This definitely applies to Sandra Glenney , her cases might be heard Judge Levay. A judge who will be aware of the research concerning child suggestibility much more than she is. It will be interesting when Alexander Levay becomes Judge Levay.

Lorrie Sinclair Guardian Ad Litem and Christopher Zveare





I strongly contend that Lorrie Sinclair should not be a judge in the 20th Circuit of Virginia, this includes serving as a special justice. Sinclair was noted for her service as a Guardian Ad Litem in her judicial evaluation. The local bar and the Virginia Women's Attorney's Association need to scrutinize Lorrie Sinclair's performance as a Guardian Ad Litem.

You cannot separate Christopher Zveare and Lorrie Sinclair, as far as her service as a Guardian Ad Litem is concerned. As a Guardian Ad Litem, Sinclair had the authority to speak to other professionals and obtain medical records. In the case involving Zveare, she chose to minimally perform her duties.

Zveare submitted a fake document allegedly from the US Department of State. The document can only be considered as fake as the employment dates for Zveare are incorrect and the authenticity of the document could not be verified. This despite the fact that Sinclair had the authority to verify the document. She could have investigated why the dates on the memorandum were incorrect. She could have simply questioned Zveare about the dates but she chose not to. Is this judicial material, is this Guardian Ad Litem Material?

The opposing side was forced to utilize the services of a private detective to find Zveare's employer. Again Sinclair had the authority to obtain all of the information but she chose not to. Keep in mind, Sinclair is paid for her duties as a Guardian Ad Litem, as citizens we are paying for this type of performance. It is disgusting.

In short, if Sinclair is selected as a Guardian Ad Litem for your case it would be wise to be aware of her past performance. It would be best to simply file a motion and have her removed. This may not succeed but it would let Sinclair know that you are watching her closely and that her behavior is being observed closely.

From all appearances, she will continue to strive to be a judge. She has attempted to become a judge at the Circuit Court level three times, she has failed all three times. She will be sensitive to any kind of scrutiny, You do have leverage, if she is not performing her duties, by all means contact the 20th Circuit Legislators, as they have a strong voice who is selected as a judge.

