

Loudoun County - Open Letter to the Honorable Jeanette Irby

Dear Judge Irby, I would like to congratulate you on your well deserved appointment to the Loudoun County Circuit Court Bench. It is important to stress the need for a fair Judicial bench in Loudoun County. As a Circuit Court Judge you have the power and authority to grant discovery and order the disclosing of evidence. This is made more important in Loudoun , as there is no open file policy in Loudoun County.

It is important to be aware that prosecutors behave badly and unethical during many cases. The following [article](#) from the New York Times illustrates this fact.

Last month, Alex Kozinski, the chief judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, issued the most stinging indictment of this systemic failure in recent memory. “There is an epidemic of Brady violations abroad in the land,” Only judges can put a stop to it.”

Judge Irby you have the power to ensure that all who enter your Courtroom have access to true justice and an opportunity to have a fair hearing. The events in Ferguson display that there is a tremendous distrust of the legal system, the distrust in the legal system is well founded. The citizens need someone to restore their faith in the system.

It is only wise to inform you as well of the rampant dishonesty of the local Child Protection Agency in Loudoun; this applies to the management team as well. In the past they were and are all to comfortable in their unethical behavior. They seem to be somehow know that the presiding Judge will not hold them accountable. The citizens are desperately hopeful that CPS will finally be held accountable for their egregious behavior. Please hold CPS accountable for their dishonest behavior and actions.

I feel it necessary to bring to your attention the issues with Sandra Glenney , Loudoun County Assistant Attorney. Sandra Glenney makes a mockery of the legal system ,she accomplishes this by using very suspect psychologists as expert witnesses for the County and withholding evidence. Sandra Glenney will attempt to hijack the legal by process by manipulating every part of the legal process; only a Judge can control Glenney and keep her in check,

Please be aware that the psychologists that will testify for Sandra Glenney and CPS are of a very low quality; their testimony is not based on science nor is it dependable. The citizens of the 20th Circuit are depending upon you to bring integrity to the Courtroom.

Loudoun CPS - Sandra Glenney's psychologists and their dangerous beliefs

An incompetent and dishonest psychologist is a very dangerous creature. This creature will make worse , a patient's situation and destroy families. Psychology/psychiatry has a very dark history and it continues to write its very dark history. For the citizens of Loudoun County and those who find themselves falsely accused of abuse, CPS and Sandra Glenney are agreeable to every bull**** theory that comes their way. If that theory can lead to a belief in abuse, Glenney is all for it. There is no more absurd testimony than that which is provided by the psychologist selected by Sandra Glenney and CPS.

Glenney's coven of psychologists that hire themselves out for \$150 an hour, at taxpayers expense, will also be agreeable to any theory that produces and encourages tales of abuse, even those discredited back in the 80s . The following [link](#) describes some of the more dangerous ideas in mental health such as "**repressed memories**". When your child enters the office of the therapist chosen by CPS, they are entering a very dark world that no child should enter. This is a dangerous world for adults but it is elevated for a child. When the door shuts, the child is at the mercy of a very incompetent psychologist. CPS psychologists prey on young children , as child abuse allegations represents financial benefit to a psychologist. If the psychologist can convince the accusing parent that abuse is valid, they have a new repeat customer. It goes without saying that the psychologist will not do anything or testify to anything that may endanger their relationship with CPS and Glenney.

If a psychologist will take the right action such as conducting proper therapy, the truth would come out. If the psychologist would listen to and report that the child recanted, the truth would come out. Alas, this is not be expected from a CPS chosen psychologist. They are concerned with preserving their relationship with Sandra Glenney and CPS. The professional hired by Sandra Glenney stands to make several thousands of dollars by agreeing with the notion that abuse occurred. As an example Dr. Mary Lindahl and Sandra Glenney withheld the recanting of abuse of a child for over two years. The accused was forced to go through multiple hearings and yet Sandra Glenney never divulged this information. More importantly by not divulging the information, the child was forced to endure multiple interviews and therapy that was not required.

The below article chronicles the story of Tom and the false accusations that his daughter made against him. In short Tom's daughter received treatment from the Castlewood Clinic in St. Louis.

<http://www.psmag.com/navigation/health-and-behavior/dangerous-idea-mental-health-93325/>

"Anna's calls to her father became fewer and farther between. Then, two months into her

stay, Anna sent a text message to Suzy: She asked her stepsister if Tom had ever touched her in a sexual way.”

In Tom’s case it took two months for the therapist to convince his daughter that she was abused, this was accomplished by **“repressed memories”** therapy that she received at the Castlewood Clinic. Repressed memories surmises that some abuse is so horrific in someone’s childhood that those memories are repressed until a therapist can retrieve those memories of abuse. As you read the article , you will discover that Child Protective Services were notified about his daughters claims and then substantiated the claims.

“Protective Services wasn’t convinced. In September, investigators filed a report accusing Tom of sexual abuse. Though no criminal charges followed, the report meant Tom would be placed on [New York’s Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment](#),”

Repressed memory therapy is highly controversial and has been very thoroughly debunked. It has been a debunked theory since the 90’s. The case that involved Tom and his daughter occurred recently, many years since very detailed research and papers have been published concerning the subject. The CPS finding of abuse in Tom’s case highlights one of the issues with CPS, their training is inadequate, very inadequate. CPS workers are not aware of critical research as relates abuse accusations.

As far as Loudoun County is concerned , Sandra Glenney and the Social Worker will testify in Court that claims of abuse are valid without showing any curiosity as to how the child came to make the statements. In an abuse case, in which there is no evidence, the impetus for the child’s statement is at the core of the issue. In Tom’s case , the Castlewood Clinic isolated his daughter from the family and began suggesting to Anna that she was abused. To anyone who has read about the atrocious cases of the 80’s , this is a total repeat of the 80’s. The CPS agency in St, Louis should have raised red flags when learning how Anna’s accusation came to fruition. It didn’t, they substantiated the abused; Loudoun CPS is no better.

The Castlewood Clinic would go onto be at the center of several lawsuits for their malpractice. The more notorious therapists who worked there have resigned as a result of the lawsuit. Many stories have been written in newspapers describing the very non-scientific nature of their therapy and the dangers of it but CPS which found Anna’s claims to be valid could not decipher bad therapy from good therapy; it is very disturbing that an entire agency doesn’t know the research. CPS will simply agree with any claims of abuse.

A thorough review of the CPS training material that is required for CPS workers in Virginia reveals that the cases of the 80’s are not taught during their training; they are simply not aware of what happened in those cases. Without knowledge of the cases in the past and the associated research, the CPS workers will believe any claims of abuses; especially if the claims are made against the father.

As mentioned before, you have to attack the credibility of any psychologist that is hired by Sandra Glenney. The attorney of the accused needs to question strongly the credibility of the psychologist as well.

Loudoun CPS - The experts (hired guns) for Sandra Glenney and CPS



The following [article](#) superbly written by Radley Balko appropriately describes the issues with the expert witnesses hired by the State or the County. Normally the expert witness is an extension of the prosecution team and is not based on objective science. The following statement describes the issue ***“And while the criminal justice system can’t seem to keep bad science out of its courtrooms during trial, once someone has been convicted, the same system then puts a premium on the “finality” of a guilty verdict”***. In short the accused can be convicted due to junk science but once the science is debunked, it is difficult to overturn the conviction or finding. Lets break this statement down. “The criminal justice system can’t seem to bad science out of its courtrooms during trial”. This is a very woeful situation for the Courts.

This statement applies to Sandra Glenney and to Loudoun County. There are no more abhorrent practitioners of junk science than Sandra Glenney’s psychologists. In Loudoun the statement could easily be read as ***fraudulent psychological testimony cannot be kept out of a Loudoun County Courtroom***. Sandra Glenney is the one responsible for bringing this fraudulent testimony into the Courtroom. Sadly, the Judges in Loudoun are not experts in psychology and have difficulty in deciphering science from junk science. Glenney and CPS excel in junk science; their formal training is steeped in it. If the accused is not aware of the very low quality of the psychologists used by Glenney, one can easily be found as abusing their child. This will be due in large part to the very questionable testimony by Glenney’s expert witness. Once a finding is made it is very difficult to have it overturned. Judges are evaluated every six years, they realize that they cannot rule against the County to often. Also most Judges come from the Commonwealth’s attorney office, they sympathize with Sandra Glenney.

The same psychologists are consistently recycled in the Courtroom in Loudoun . Normally it will be Dr. Mary Lindahl, Dr. Christopher Lane, Dr. Stacy Hoffman, Dr. William Ling or Dr. Eliana Gil. Be aware that the psychologist chosen for your case, will have a very strong relationship with CPS

and have many hearings under their belt; they know how to testify. **Keep in mind no reputable psychologist will ever testify for CPS** .

Much like the article written by Mr. Balko in which it states concerning Steven Hayne (pictured above), prosecutors are closely attached to their expert witnesses. Why you ask, they are confident that the expert witness will testify exactly as they desire. It is the same with Sandra Glenney, she is closely attached to her expert witnesses. Glenney is quite certain of how the expert witness will testify, Sandra Glenney is also certain that the therapist who is working for CPS will ensure that no information is released that may damage her case. Do you think Sandra Glenney would hire anyone that may for an instance disagree with Glenney or CPS?

During the entire Court proceedings , you must be aware of your time constraints. Glenney is full aware of how the clock works. With investigators of the quality of Benjamin Smith, the investigation will be one-sided and quite dishonest. Timing is very critical in a CPS case, for instance CPS doesn't have to reveal any evidence until 45 days after the case starts. From the beginning you have no access to any information, reminiscent of the Salem Witch trials. The evidence that is released, is reviewed by Sandra Glenney before it is released. You have limited time to enter your evidence into the record, you have to move quickly.

In criminal and civil cases, junk science is allowed in the Courtroom and the Courts can't seem to keep it out. In Loudoun County it is debatable that the Judges want to keep it. It is more politically expedient just to go along with Sandra Glenney.

Loudoun County CPS - know your rights and know the law

Much to the chagrin of Loudoun County CPS and Sandra Glenney, the accused does have rights; believe it or not. Unfortunately they are not required to inform you of those rights. Depending upon the case worker and the investigator, it can be assumed that the CPS employee is not aware of your rights. It is my sincere wish, that this posts assists the innocent in becoming aware of their rights. One Virginia code that the accused should be familiar with is [22VAC40-705-120](#), specifically

D. The subject of the report or complaint may consult with the local department to hear and refute evidence collected during the investigation. Whenever a criminal charge is also filed against the alleged abuser for the same conduct involving the same victim child as investigated by the local department, sharing the evidence prior to the court hearing is prohibited.

In the case of false accusations, Glenney and CPS will be forced to conceal evidence and obscure facts. It is incumbent on the accused to collect their own facts, the investigation that CPS conducts

could be used as a guideline of how not to conduct an investigation. It is imperative for the accused and their attorney to consult with the local department during the investigation. For instance, inquire about DNA test results and inquire if any retractions were made by the child to the CPS hand-picked psychologist. During this consultation , record every word on a taping device. Inform CPS and Glenney that you are taping, it is your right to record them as per [22VAC40-705-80](#).

2. The child protective services (CPS) worker shall conduct a face-to-face interview with the alleged abuser and/or neglecter.

a. The CPS worker shall inform the alleged abuser and/or neglecter of his right to tape record any communication pursuant to § [63.2-1516](#) of the Code of Virginia.

If you don't tape them, they are going to lie it is simple as that. These people are for the most part extremely dishonest. CPS especially in Loudoun County is poorly managed by Laurie Warhol and Ellen Grunewald. It is critical to know your rights. The purpose of consulting with CPS is to get additional facts about the investigation and inform CPS of any additional information that you have collected. It is also an opportunity for you to record on tape any contradictions in the CPS worker's statements
