## **Loudoun County - Eugene Delgaudio**

The beat goes on in Loudoun County. The recall petition against Eugene Delgaudio was recently dismissed and as of last week the County will have to pay \$35,000 of his legal fees. If you recall a grand jury was convened to discuss issues concerning Delgaudio. No indictments were brought against Delgaudio but the grand jury did express severe concerns about his behavior. The transcripts from grand jury have been sealed, however the grand jury did release a report.

It is ironic that progress is marching forward in Loudoun Country with the opening of the Silver line this weekend and the continuing efforts of Phase 2, however Loudoun has ideological problems. There is a very old school and conservative mentality in Loudoun that exists in the Judiciary and the Board of Supervisors. Delgaudio is a prime example of this, he claims to be very religious and this religious belief, not rational thinking, drives his behavior. His behavior is extreme but many in Loudoun do share his beliefs. Loudoun may be one of the wealthier counties but it is not one of the more intellectual. There are several churches while there almost no bookstores to speak of.

One only needs to ride the WO&D trail and observe the obvious difference between Ashburn, Sterling and Herndon. One of the more dangerous crossings on the trail is at Sterling Boulevard; Mr. Delgaudio is the Supervisor for Sterling. Ashburn and Herndon have signals to assist the pedestrians, Sterling has none. This is attributable to Delgaudio; he would prefer to wage his religious battles instead of taking tangible actions.

Recently the Loudon Board <u>voted</u> 9-0 that the Washington football team has the right to call themselves whatever they want. Normally I would agree but in this case the name is so blatantly offensive. I am curious if someone who owned a business wanted to hang a banner that stated "White Society committed genocide against the Natives" or one that said "religious people are intellectually inferior to atheists" if the board would vote the same way, I highly doubt it.

It goes without saying that Mr. Delgaudio would never be elected in a city like DC, Loudoun is not like DC. Loudoun is progressing, Metro is coming in 2018. Loudoun is changing and will continue to change. With that change, new ideas will come. The new population will be diverse and the one size fits all religious beliefs that Delgaudio has will no longer be compatible. Loudoun will be covered more closely by the Washington Post, which will bring additional scrutiny to the County. Scrutiny can be positive and should be welcomed.

Phase 2 will be complete by 2018; the new ideas will begin creeping into the County well before then. The next immediate goal is 2015; Supervisor Delgaudio is up for re-election then. It is critical that he does not win his re-election bid, Sterling deserves better. His loss would be a tremendous boost for the future.

## <u>Indian Boarding Schools - Child Protective Services</u>



The similarities between the Indian Boarding Schools and Child Protective Services are striking. Both were designed to break the family bond and both masqueraded as helping children. Child Protective Services removes children from loving parents as did the Indian Boarding schools. In both cases, it is legal kidnapping, methods were different but intentions are the same.

During the Boarding school era, children were taken and shipped hundreds of miles away to a boarding school. CPS does things a little differently but with the same intention. Today they use a Court order and testimony from their psychologist to separate a child and a parent, the goal is the same.

The children who were ensnared into the Indian Boarding school suffered mental, physical and psychological abuse. Children who are ensnared in the proceedings of CPS suffer the same type of abuse. Children are harassed by social workers and psychologist which is psychological abuse. Both systems need children to stay in business, children were needed to feed the Boarding school machine. In the case of CPS, children are needed to justify the salary of social workers and the attorney's who represents the agency. In Loudoun County, the citizens do not realize how many people make money off of a CPS case. A Guardian Ad Litem must be paid and several psychologist must be paid to keep the machine going. Children and innocent families are needed to feed the machine.

They both hide behind the image of legitimacy; they both fail miserably in helping children. The citizens can only hope that CPS will be abolished as the Indian Boarding schools were.

## <u>Loudoun County Judicial System - CPS and Sandra Glenney</u>

The system is designed to grind you down and make you quit. The Loudoun County Judicial System, mainly as it concerns Loudoun County CPS and Sandra Glenney, is designed to break up families. The stress of being engulfed in the system can be overwhelming; it feels like you are drowning with no way to save yourself. This brings me to the story of Chris Mackney, the following blog "Chris Mackney - A Casualty of Family Court", eloquently describes the case of Mr. Mackney. I pay my respects to Mr. Mackney, as I understand the incredible stress he was facing. The post is dedicated to Mr. Mackney.



If a reader is involved with Loudoun CPS or CPS from any other county, the following rules apply.

- 1. They are not there to help
- 2. They are poorly trained
- 3. They will conceal evidence
- 4. They will commit perjury in Court
- 5. They will violate Virginia FOIA laws.

In the case of Loudoun and Fairfax the following psychologists are frequently used Dr. Mary Lindahl, Dr. William Ling, Lisa Hunt and Dr. Christopher Lane. In Loudoun, if Sandra Glenney is the attorney representing CPS do the following.

- 1. Subpoena all recordings
- 2. Subpoena the police notes as well
- 3.If Dr. Lindahl is selected by CPS, ensure that your attorney subpoenas her notes immediately. Do not rely on Dr. Lindahl's testimony under oath testimony to reveal critical information.
- 4. Record every meeting with CPS on a tape recorder, if CPS is not being taped they will untruthful.
- 5. Do not sign anything; do not allow your attorney to pressure you into signing anything.
- 6. If Lisa Hunt of Fairfax is used to perform an evaluation, it is important to know that Lisa Hunt and Dr. Lindahl are very close. Ask Lisa Hunt very pointed questions about her relationship with Dr.

Lindahl and Dr. Christopher Lane.

- 7. Sandra Glenney will attempt to use her psychologist as a weapon and will attempt to limit any critical cross-examination of their chosen expert. Ensure your attorney obtains a discovery order to avoid a trial by ambush by Sandra Glenney.
- 8. When Dr. Lindahl testifies, ask her very specifically has my son or daughter ever recanted. It is preferable to have her notes before she is allowed to testify.

## Loudoun County needs an open file policy -CPS, Sandra Glenney and Lorrie Sinclair



An open file policy would prevent numerous problems in the Loudoun County Judicial System as well as in any Judicial System. Currently Loudoun County does not have an open file policy. An open file policy is a policy in which the Commonwealth Attorney would share all files with the defendant's attorney. As it stands now, there is no such policy. Unfortunately many people in the Loudoun County judicial system take unfair advantage of this and hides critical evidence from the accused. This includes the Commonwealth Attorney and the various attorneys' from the County Attorney's office.

The way the process is now, it is up to the Commonwealth Attorney to provide the files to the defense attorney. It is naive to believe that the Commonwealth Attorney would provide files to the opposing attorney that may exonerate the accused, although this is required by <u>law</u> (Brady Act). Again and again prosecutors have consistently violated the Brady Act as mentioned by a Federal Judge in the following New York Times <u>article</u>.

Loudoun County is no exception; the Commonwealth's Attorney's office needs an open file policy. There is too much incentive for the prosecutor to cheat and withhold evidence. This filters down to Loudoun County CPS and the County Attorney's office as well; the CPS agency needs an open file policy. The investigators for CPS are infamous for withholding evidence, for that matter the management as well. As the policy now allows, CPS is not required to provide evidence until 45 days after the investigation starts. During this time frame, the accused is forced to go into Juvenile Domestic Court without having seeing or reviewing any of the alleged evidence. Even after the 45 days, CPS rarely provides all of the evidence; it is for all intentions a kangaroo Court.

This system, understandably, promotes very bad behavior. Sandra Glenney, the Assistant County Attorney, usually behaves in a very abhorrent way during the defendant's trip through the Loudoun County Civil Court System. The CPS investigator and the Guardian Ad Litem usually follow her lead. They feel protected and justified in behaving badly because Sandra Glenney behaves badly. Article after article has been written that exposes prosecutors who have withheld evidence which have resulted in persons being wrongly convicted. The prosecutor or his office rarely faces any discipline for hiding evidence. This is why Sandra Glenney and the management at CPS feel so comfortable behaving in the manner that they do. She knows that there will be little if any consequences for her actions. The judiciary in Loudoun rarely will admonish Sandra Glenney.

An open file policy would assist in preventing this, it is important to make it a law with a substantial penalty if prosecutors or Assistant County Attorney's do not abide by the policy.

An open file policy would prevent most of this bad behavior and would hopefully ensure that justice is finally served.